# State Perspective of DoD MMRP PA/SI Program









- Provide overview of Colorado's perspective
- Describe Colorado's expectations
- Show examples of success
- Highlight areas of concern



#### Presentation Overview

- What are MEC
- How are MEC managed
- PA expectations
- SI expectations
- Case studies
- Summary





#### What are MEC?

- RCRA Solid and Hazardous Waste
- 6CCR 1007-3
   Section 260.10 and
   Sections
   267.201/202
- Imminent and substantial endangerment





### How are MEC regulated?

- Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Division (HMWMD)
- Authority to enforce and regulate MEC under:
  - Colorado Hazardous Waste Regulations
  - Colorado Solid Waste Regulations





### How are MEC managed?

- Cleanup usually follows CERCLA process
- Time Critical Removal Action
- Removal Action (EE/CA)
- Remedial Action (RI/FS)
- RCRA Corrective Authority



# Military Munitions Preliminary Assessment (PA)



- in Colorado
- Identify potential MEC and HTRW contaminated areas
- Early regulatory involvement is important
- Use systematic planning process
- Encourage public awareness





- Uses historical information
- Uses anecdotal information, interviews
- Involves fence to fence site
   reconnaissance





#### What are the outputs?

- Report and preliminary scoring
- Identifies areas requiring site inspection (SI)
- Identifies areas for No Further Action Planned
- HMWMD response
- Public awareness







- Early regulatory involvement during planning
- Scoping meeting
- Systematic planning process
- Public awareness







- Confirm PA
- Complete data gaps to score MRSPP
- Identifies TCRA
- Evaluates interim risk management



### Site Inspection and Scoring

- Formerly RAC
- Munitions
   Response Site
   Prioritization
   Protocol (MRSPP)
- Will consider other scoring methods





#### Site Inspection Tools

- Wide AreaAssessment
- Geophysical survey
- Media sampling
- Encourage advanced technology





#### Site Inspection Considerations

- Munitions type
- Potential receptors
- Future land use
- Interim RiskManagement
- UFP QAPP or TPP





#### What are the Outputs?

- Report
- Score
- HMWMD response
- Interim RiskManagementPlan
- Public Awareness





#### Summary of Expectations

- Early regulatory involvement in scoping and planning
- Systematic planning process
- Fence to fence coverage
- Use of advanced technology
- Interim risk management
- Public awareness



#### Buckley Air Force Base

- Active base
- Comprehensive Site Evaluation Phase I
- Archival records review
- Interviews
- Fence to fence site reconnaissance
- Preliminary MRSPP





#### Buckley Air Force Base

- Nine sites moved to CSE Phase II
- Refine MRSPP during Phase II
- NFA recommended for nine sites
- Preliminary MRSPP increased funding priority from FY10 to FY07





#### Buckley Air Force Base

- CSE Phase II scoping involves regulatory agency
- Interim RiskManagement in place (active base)
- Fact sheets published



## Camp Hale Tenth Mountain Division Training



- Army Corps of Engineers FUDS Policy ER-200-3-1 May 2004
- Limited state involvement in program development
- Forces reactive vs active participation



## Camp Hale Tenth Mountain Division Training



- State involvement does occur at project level
- PA applied to FUDS eligible portion only
- Limited site reconnaissance based on early assessments







- MRSPP scoring deferred to SI
- Advanced technology use limited
- Funding commitments unclear
- No interim risk management





#### Summary

- MEC are solid and hazardous waste
- HMWMD regulates MEC under Colorado Hazardous Waste Regulations
- Early regulatory involvement in scoping and planning PA and SI is important
- Advanced technology highly encouraged
- Public awareness is needed
- Interim risk management is crucial



### Questions

